

REMARKS

Claim 45 has been amended to recite that the curing agent (C) is an isocyanate compound or an amino compound when the fluorine-containing resin has hydroxyl group. Support is found, for example, in original claim 7 and at page 5, lines 6-16 of the specification. No new matter has been added, and entry of the Amendment is respectfully requested.

Claims 45-52 are pending, and claims 48 and 52 are objected to as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 45-47 and 49-51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanada et al (US 5,621,042).

This rejection should be withdrawn because Hanada et al does not disclose or render obvious the present invention.

Hanada et al discloses amino-modified polysiloxane oils, and teaches preferably reacting the aminopolysiloxane with an isocyanate prior to admixing with the film forming resin.

Hanada et al does not disclose that the curing agent (C) can be an amino compound when the fluorine-containing resin has hydroxyl group, as claimed in claim 45.

Further, as discussed in the previous Amendment filed December 11, 2008, when using the liquid polydialkylsiloxane having amino group (B1), the "Stain removing test using oily ink" is improved, and this effect is demonstrated by the results shown in the Examples of the specification.

As shown in Table 1, the combinations of a fluorine-containing resin having hydroxyl group and the Stain-proofing components 1 or 3 having amino group are Examples 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7, and the combinations of a fluorine-containing resin having hydroxyl group and the Stain-proofing component 2 having hydroxyl group are Examples 3 and 5.

Comparing the "Stain removing test using oily ink" in Table 1, the results of Examples 3 and 5 are "B" ranking (B: 5 to 15 % residue), but the results of Examples 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 are all "A" ranking (A: less than 5 % residue). These results illustrate the significance of using the stain-proofing agent having an amino group.

Hanada et al does not teach or suggest the superior effects provided by the present invention.

Accordingly, the present claims are not obvious over Hanada et al.

In view of the amendments to the claims and the remarks set forth above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection based on Hanada et al are respectfully requested.

Allowance of claims 45-52 is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Hui C. Wauters
Registration No. 57,426

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 24, 2009